## State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 10-130	
Complainant:	No.	1086410791A
Judge:	No.	1086410791B

## ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge issued erroneous rulings. The commission reviewed the matter and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. Judicial decisions are outside the jurisdiction of the commission. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 8, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 8, 2010.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

I In Propria Resona 2010-130 1 2 3 APR 2 7 2010 4 5 6 BEFORE THE COMMISSION ON JUDICIA? CONDUCT 9 10 case 10. (Tobe supplied by The Commission on Judicial Conduct). IN THE MATTER OF 11 an Judge of the Parna Court 12 Superior Court, In DIVISION VE, 13 Prespondent. 14 15 16 The Complainant 17 prind this Complaint against the Honorable; 18 an Judge of the Paraloumy Superior Court, an 19 DIDISION VE, Who Conduct in the Pinalount Superior 20 Cour Case No. CR-2009 21 1). has brought her office into discepute, 22 2). was failed to Rev form duries required by law, and 23 3). IS Participating in exparte Nutr Proceedings with 24 Prepresentations of the state to refuse to 25 Make specific fundings to motions taken under 26 advisement; and, to Virtusfully Suspend on 27 Retrition for a writ of Habeas Lorpus without 28 (Page 1 of 5)

```
2010-130
      Making any specific findings to the Complainant's
       Emprisonment, which is conduct that reflects
2
       aduransely upon the Judge's Impartiality and
       interfers with the Proper Reformance of her duties.
4
    on October. 23rd, 2009. Judge.
5
    Prejudicial and Bias Lemarks and rulings against the
6
    Complainant, to allow the Public Defender,
    TO withdraw from Complainant Case because over 25
8
    Years ago Complainant Filed an lawsuit on their office,
9
    and then severaned at the Complainant (You shouldn't
10
    never nad Filed an lawsuit against them". see,
11
    ExHIBIT A, which is the minure entry dated accorded
12
    23rd, 2009.
13
     on November 25th, 2009. The Assistant legal defender,
14
                                   Filed on Privolous
    MR.
15
    motion to Dismiss Indictment on behalf of the
16
    Complainant. Del, EXHIBIT B, Which B-THE MOTION
17
    POOC, 435 reducted biled, Fried about 254, 2009.
18
      ON December 14th, 2009, Judge:
19
    accepted this Privolous Motion to Dismiss
20
     Indictment on Complainant's belialf and took it under
21
    advisement for Consideration.
22
     ON December 15th, 2009. Judge
23
                                             made on
    In Chambers / Under Advissment Ruling, denied the Motion
24
     To dismiss indictment without any specific findings that
25
    denied him a light to appeal the findings that was beized
26
    made and deried him an light to fire an sufficient
27
    Rule 12.9 motion to Lemand
28
                                       (Page 20f5)
```

2010-130 back to the Grand Zury for an redetermination of Probable Cause as Judge. accepted The Motion as an Phale 12.9 on December 14th, 2009. See, Exhabat C, which is the Minute Entry dotted 4 December 15th, 2009. In Chambers Ruling/under Advisement Ruling. 6 on march 18th, 2010, Judge. 7 suspended complainant Perinion for a warr of Habees Corpus without making any factual findings 9 Whatsolver. Dee, Exhibit D, which is the minute 10 entry dated march 18th, 2010. 11 2ndde. , has been holding lomplainant 12 in Custody Since september 12th, 2009, to an defective 13 Bena Courty Grand Jury Indictment that fail to 14 allege any mens rea and Acrus reas in any of ITS 15 Cours reasing the trial Cour without any Jurisdiction to try the Complainant whele the 17 Indictment fail To allege any Crime which renders the Indictment Constitutionally, fatally, 18 and fundamentally deflective because IT 20 Pail to allege all the lossential elements that 21 gises Complainant the right not to be tried under 22 THE Grand JURY Clause of the FIFTH Amendment 23 TOTAL UNITED STATUS CONSTITUTION. SEL, EXHIBIT 24 E, which is the Pama Courty Grand Jury Indicament 25 in case No. CR-That the lamplainant 26 is awaithing under. 27 Complazarant has a motion to Dismiss Prosecution 28 (Rage 3 of 5)

for Lack of Jurisdiction that he has rending before the trial lovert, that will be sor for sheduling on April 30th, 8010. before Judge 4 Deposes to say under 5 OAM TO THE RENDETT of Rejur, that he is the TRUE Author of this legal Letion Complaint against an Judge In Interpret Superior 8 Commission Por Immediate Investigation into this 10 Judgers Conduct. 11 Signed This 25th Day of April 12 Rosse 13 Complainant In Propria Kusona 14 15 WE, THE Undersigned States under OATH TO The Renatty 16 of Rejuy, that the about lamplainant is whom we 17 bleame to Known as ,mas 18 Provided The about Signitude. 19 Signed, this 25th Day of April, 2010. 20 21 22 AN WITHUSS HEREIM. 23 24 Signed, This 25 Day of April 25 BY 26 27 ON WITHISS HEREIN. (Page 40f 5) 28